INTRODUCTION

These days use case modeling is often associated with UML, although it has been introduced before UML existed. Ivar Jacobson has been credited with inventing use cases which appeared in the object-oriented community somewhere in 1992.

If you have seen even the smallest thing of use cases, it is likely that this has been a use case diagram with a figure like shape from which an line goes to an ellipse with a few words in it.

“This is not a use case”

And you may have been told that this is how functional specifications are created in UML. Everyone who has ever written functional specifications that consisted of pages of text will then at least raise an eyebrow and think this cannot be true. And you will be very right to do so!

In this paper it will be explained what use case modeling really is about and you will find out that it is fundamentally a text form for which a use case diagram is not much more than an index. It will also describe an effective way to create use cases and to record them with JDeveloper 10.1.3, and Oracle Consulting’s best practices with that.

For those familiar with traditional Structured Analysis use case modeling is compared with using a Function Hierarchy.

The contents of this paper are greatly inspired by the book “Writing Effective Use Cases” from Alistair Cockburn. Whenever you start creating uses cases on your project it is highly recommended you keep his book at hand.

WHAT IS USE CASE MODELING

The core items of use case modeling are use cases and actors.

Use Cases

Whenever we discuss the requirements of a system we recognize one or more people or things that have an interest in the behavior of that system. These are called the stakeholders of that system.
A use case describes how the system should respond under various conditions to a request from one of the stakeholders to deliver a specific goal. This is primarily done in the form of a scenario that describes a sequence of steps. Each use case captures a “contract” for the behavior of the System under Discussion (SuD) to deliver a single goal.

Ultimately, the functional requirements of a system can be captured by describing how the SuD should respond to all possible requests as a series of use cases. In this way you can specify an important part of, but not all requirements. Requirements you cannot capture this way are non-functional requirements, business rules, the user interface and other requirements that are not suitable to be described as a scenario.

**Actors**

An actor is anyone or anything with behavior. The primary actor is the stakeholder that interacts with the SuD to achieve a specific goal. The primary actor is often, but not always the one who triggers the use case. It is not, when the use case is actually triggered by an actor who does this on behalf of the real primary actor, or when the use case is triggered by time.

Sometimes an (external) actor needs to provide a service to the SuD. Such an actor is called a supporting actor. An actor can be the primary actor for one use case and the supporting actor for another.

In general an actor is a role rather than a specific person, job title or thing, although incidentally it can be. The SuD is also an actor. Not every stakeholder will directly interact with the SuD and will show up as an actor. An example might be the board of directors of the company or the Tax and Customs Administration, which also may require things from the system that must be taken into account. It is important to discover these “hidden” actors at an early stage as it may reveal requirements that may be missed otherwise.

**A TEXT FORM**

As said in the introduction, are use cases fundamentally a text form. They serve to communicate from one person to another, which are often people with no training in the field of systems development. Therefore in most cases a simple text is the best form. Use case modeling is not about making it complete and pretty; sufficient is good enough. There is no harm in using business terminology that is familiar to everyone involved or that is documented in a glossary. Ideally a use case will fit on one paper.

**Scenarios**

The main part of a use case is its scenario. A scenario describes a sequence of steps that are executed in order to fulfill the goal the use case is supposed to deliver. For example, the scenario that describes how to get a parking ticket from a machine could look like this:
Buy Parking Ticket

1. The Car Driver enters a coin in the Ticket Machine
2. The Ticket Machine validates the coin
3. The Ticket Machine indicates until when the Car Driver can park
4. ... 
5. The Ticket Machine prints the parking ticket

The scenario must be easy to read. Therefore you should avoid scenarios of more than nine steps and you should always use the active voice, stating exactly who or what is doing what. This includes the SuD itself.

In the scenario above everything goes as planned, which therefore is called a **main success scenario**. But in many cases there are exceptions that require a deviation from the planned scenario. In this example exceptions may consist of the Car Driver entering a coin of invalid currency or aborting the transaction. Exceptions are documented as extensions.

**Extensions**

An exception is documented by specifying an alternate route in the scenario, which is called an **extension** (related to, but not the same as an extension use case, which will be discussed later on). Extensions can be added to the main success scenario as follows:

Buy Parking Ticket

**Main Success Scenario:**

1. The Car Driver enters a coin in the Ticket Machine
2. The Ticket Machine validates the coin
3. The Ticket Machine indicates until when the Car Driver can park
4. ...

**Extensions:**

2a Invalid coin:
   2a1 The Ticket Machine returns an invalid coin
   2a2 Return to step 1

3a Car Driver aborts transaction:
   3a1 The Ticket Machine returns the coins that have been entered
   3a2 The scenario ends
There is no standard for the layout of a scenario, let alone how to add extensions. It is good practice to number the steps of the main scenario and to put the extensions after that instead of in between in order to keep the scenario readable. Number the extensions in such a way that it is clear at what step it exits from the main success scenario and what point it returns. For example, a third extension that also exits at step 2 would be numbered as 2b1, 2b2, etc.

**Use Case Properties**

Although it is the core the scenario is not the only thing there is to say about a use case. Other aspects (or properties as you may call them) of a use case may consist of the following:

- **Scope**: the name of the (part of the) system the use case belongs to
- **Stakeholder**: someone or something that has an interest in the goal the use case delivers
- **Primary Actor**: the stakeholder who or which initiates the use case to achieve a goal
- **Brief Description**: a brief description of the goal the use case is supposed to deliver
- **Level**: at what level the use case has been written (to be discussed in “Scope and Level”)
- **Preconditions**: what conditions must be met before the scenario can start (to be discussed in “Preconditions and Guarantees”)
- **Postconditions**: what conditions must be met for a valid end of the scenario, to be divided into *minimal guarantee(s)* and *success guarantee(s)* (to be discussed in “Preconditions and Guarantees”)
- **Trigger**: the event or sequence of events that initiate the use case.

**Use Case Templates**

There is also no standard that dictates what properties need to be included in a use case. What you need to write down for use cases depends on the situation, especially on who is writing it and for what purpose. For example, if an on-site team performs the requirements definition and analysis, while the design and implementation is done remotely, you need to be very explicit and you probably specify all these properties and even more. On the other hand, a small team that performs the requirements definition up to the implementation in one and the same room may suffice with specifying only a few properties, perhaps only the Brief Description.
Before you start your project you should decide upon templates that are going to be used. In practice it suffices to use two templates for each project. You use a simple one with only a few properties for use cases that do not need to be worked out in detail. You use a more extensive one that has all properties that are relevant, for the other use cases. The first template is commonly called the **Casual** version and the second one the **Fully Dressed** version. JDeveloper also comes with a Casual and Fully Dressed template. Review and modify the templates to fit the needs of your project. You may want to add properties like Brief Description, Number, Priority or Status.

In the appendix an example is provided that is based on the Casual.html template that comes with JDeveloper to which the property “Brief Description” has been added. This property is specifically useful when you start use case modeling by setting up a User-Goal list (as will be discussed in “Writing In Iterations”).

The JDeveloper use case templates Casual.xhtml_usc and FullyDressed.xhtml_usc can be found in `[JDEV_HOME]\jdev\system\oracle.bm.XYZ\templates\usecase`, where XYZ refers to the build version of JDeveloper, for example system10.1.3.44.66. The following example shows how the property “Brief Description” has been added to either the Casual or FullyDressed template, just before the `</td>` and `</tr>` tag of the “Stakeholder(s)” property:

```html
</uml:usecase_communicates>
</td>
</tr>

<tr>
  <td width="30%" class="UseCaseTableCellTitle" align="right" valign="top">
    <h3>Brief:</h3>
  </td>
  <td width="70%" class="UseCaseTableCellContents" valign="top">
    <!-- Brief Description, as a short descriptive text about the goal of the use case -->
  </td>
</tr>
```

Consider changing both templates to set the default of the Level property to “User Goal” rather than “Summary” as most use cases will be of that level.

You can also add a new template by copying an existing one and modify that to your purpose. You can then create a new Component Palette page where you can add your new template as a component (see also the subject Creating Use Case Component Palette Pages in the JDeveloper help).
**SCOPE AND LEVEL**

Use cases can be written for different purposes. They can be written to describe a business process, to describe the functional requirements of a system or to document the design of a system. Use cases can also be written at different levels of detail, from high to low level.

**Design Scope**

The design scope of a use case can be the enterprise, a specific system or a subsystem. Use cases that are used to describe the business process are typically of scope “enterprise”. The design scope is not a specific property of a use case (thus not be confused with the “scope” property) but something you should consider carefully to make sure you understand what is inside and what is outside the boundary of the use case. Something that is essential for the use case but outside its boundary, must be covered either by another actor (which may be a supporting actor) or another use case. For instance, if some other system delivers data that is essential to the use case, you do not model how that system works but model it as an actor.

**Business and System Use Cases**

Business people write Business uses cases that have the business as subject and are used to document business processes. Software developers write System use cases that have the system as subject and describe how actors communicate with the system to achieve their goals. “Sell Books” will be a business use case, where “Browse Catalogue” will be a system use case.

**Use Case Level**

Cockburn distinguishes three main levels of use cases, being Summary, User Goal and Subfunction. Furthermore he uses a sailboat analogy with corresponding symbols. Summary use cases are at cloud or kite level, User Goal use cases at sea level and Subfunction use cases are under water at a fish or clam level.

A **User Goal** use case addresses the question: “Can the primary actor go away happily after the use case finished?” The use cases “Complete Online Purchase Order” or “Log On” do not generally count as user goals. The first one does not because it may take days to complete an online purchase order and the second one does not because logging on will not be user goal as such. “Buy Book” or “Register Customer” are more likely to be User Goal use cases.

A **Summary** use case outlines the context of a set of User Goal use cases. The Summary use case may have a step in its scenario for each User Goal use case. The time frame of a summary use cases is typically in terms of hours, days, weeks or more. Recognizing Summary use cases can be a valuable aid while determining the high-level requirements, but will not provide the functional requirements.
The already mentioned “Complete Online Purchase Order” could be a use case at the kite level. “Run Web Book Store” could be a use case at the even higher cloud level.

A **Subfunction** use case is created to move out an isolated part of a scenario to a separate use case. In most cases this is done to keep the original use case readable or because that particular part of the scenario can be reused and serve as building blocks for other use cases. A typical example of a Subfunction use case at the fish level is “Log on”.

Subfunction use cases at the even lower clam level could be “Record Log On Attempt” and “Revoke Access”. Use cases at this level are generally too detailed to be created during the analysis because they are seldom visible to the actors. But during design clam level use cases may be created to work out some processing algorithm.

Use cases at different levels

Mind that Business and System use cases at one hand and Summary, User Goal and Subsystem use cases at the other hand, are basically two different dimensions. Recall that Business use cases may be created by business analyst whereas system use cases are created by system developers, probably resulting in two different sets.

**PRECONDITIONS AND GUARANTEES**

You use **Preconditions** to specify the conditions that must hold true before the scenario of the use case starts and will not be checked again after that. Often a precondition indicates that some other use case has already run to set up the condition. Write preconditions as a set of assertions, for example “User is logged on”, “Credit Card has been validated” and so on. Consider putting preconditions that hold for multiple use cases, in a separate document. Instead of including the assertion in the use case, you then include a reference to the generic precondition.
With **Minimal Guarantees** you specify what, from the viewpoint of the stakeholders, at least must hold true in case neither the main success scenario nor any alternate route has successfully finished. The most common Minimal Guarantee is “The System has logged how far it got”. Minimal Guarantees are written as a set of assertions that must be satisfied at the end of any path of the scenario, for example “All entered information must have been stored”.

With **Success Guarantees** you specify what must have been achieved at the end of the main success scenario or any alternate route. Success Guarantees are also written as a set of assertions that describe what would satisfy any of the interests of the stakeholders. These assertions add to the Minimal Guarantees.

Mind that Preconditions and Guarantees may be related to, but are not the same as business rules.

**USE CASE DIAGRAMS**

A use case diagram provides an index to use cases. The following gives an overview of the conventions you should apply when drawing use case diagrams.

**Actors and Use Cases**

In a diagram you include actors as people-like figures and use cases as ellipses and draw lines that indicate the relationships, or *communications* between them.

It is custom to draw the primary actor and other stakeholders that communicate with the use case to the left and secondary actors to the right. Put the primary actor at the top, as in the following example.

![Actors, use cases and communications](image)

**Use Case Inclusions**

Higher-level use cases may *call* lower-level use cases (that is: require the behavior of lower-level use cases). In UML-speak it is said that the higher-level use case *includes* the lower-level one, hence the term “inclusion”. A typical example is a Summary use case that includes User Goal use cases. Another typical example is a User Goal use case that includes some reusable Subfunction use case, like “Log On”.

If you want to express this in the scenario of the Summary use case, you underline the name of the lower-level use case, as in the following example:
Log Ticket

Main Success Scenario:

1. The Helpdesk Employee finds Customer using its name or address
2. The Helpdesk Employee enters the details of the Ticket
3. ...

An inclusion is drawn as a dashed arrow from the higher-level to the lower-level use case. The lower-level use case should also be drawn lower to emphasize it is at a lower level.

Use Case Extensions

Recall that earlier an extension has been defined as alternate route to the main success scenario. In general an extension use case is an extension of a main success scenario that has been moved out of the originating use case into a use case of its own. The point at which it exists the originating use case is called an extension point like the point at which it returns is called the return point.

When moving an extension, the exception to the main success scenario becomes the trigger of the extension use case. In the extension use case you refer to the originating use case by underlining its name, but not visa versa. Suppose you would like to model the fact that a Car Driver can abort buying a parking ticket using an extension use case. The scenario of the originating use case does not change except for the fact that the alternate scenario is cut out of the extension part. The extension use case may look as follows:

Abort Transaction

Trigger: any time in Buy Ticket the Car Driver can abort the transaction

Main Success Scenario

1. The Ticket Machine returns the coins that have been entered

An extension is drawn using a dashed arrow from the extension use case to the originating one. The extension use case should be drawn lower than the originating use case, emphasizing that it is at a lower level.
There are a few situations in which you create extension use cases, being:

- To leave details out of the originating use case that otherwise would clutter its scenario.
- As a way to add to requirements that are fixed and you are not allowed to change the originating use case.
- When the extension concerns an isolated piece of functionality that may be implemented by a different team. In this case you may want to be able to estimate both use cases separately.

**Generalized Use Cases and Actors**

In UML you can generalize use cases. When use case A specializes use case B (or B generalizes A) you express that A is “a kind of” B, implying that whatever applies to B also applies to A. A adds to or may override behavior of B.

Use case generalizations are drawn by drawing an open arrow from the specialized use cases to the general one. The specialized use cases are drawn below the general one.

It is imperative that use cases are easy to read, while specializing some generic scenario is conceptually a difficult thing to understand. In practise you probably are best off by leaving the scenario of the generic use case empty and using generalization only to share common stakeholders, triggers, conditions or other properties between specialized use cases. However, the larger the system, the more advantageous using the mechanism of generalizing use cases may become.

Actors can be specialized too, but that may also introduce confusion and should be done scarcely. There are not that many properties to share between actors anyway.

**ORGANIZING USE CASES**

When there are more than just a few, organizing use cases becomes imperative for several reasons, among them to be able to find them back.

As applies to many things giving a use case a proper name is a good start. A good name generally has the form “Do Something” (active verb phrase) and expresses the goal of the primary actor as clear as possible. Another good thing to do is to number the use cases. In most situations a generic, hierarchical numbering will suit best. For example, when the summary use case has number 1, use cases that detail this are numbered as 1.1, 1.2 and so on.
UML provides the package construct to organize modeling elements, which can also be used for use cases. With JDeveloper versions up to 10.1.3 you cannot change the package of sets of use cases at a time, only one at a time\(^1\). This will change in some future release, but even then diagrams must be redrawn after you moved use cases, which can take a considerable amount of time. Therefore, before you start recording use cases you should carefully think about how you want to organize them, trying to prevent a future need to move use cases.

There are a couple of alternatives to organize use cases:

- By primary actor
- By summary use case
- By development team and release
- By subject area
- Combinations of the above

Cockburn states that from 80 to 100 use cases, organizing them by primary actor looses effectiveness, as you end up with too many use cases per primary actor. Whenever you write Summary use cases, organizing by Summary use case is a natural thing to do. If there is more than one team, then organize them by development team. This also makes (re)estimating and progress monitoring easier. Coburn states that from 100 use cases or more, people naturally start clustering use cases by subject area.

When you decided to organize the use cases by primary actor, you can create a package structure for example as follows:

```
mycompany.myproject.usecases.actor1
mycompany.myproject.usecases.actor2
... 
```

JDeveloper supports two “Subjects”, being “System Boundary” and “Milestone”. The System Boundary typically is used to organize use cases by (sub)system but can also be used for other subject areas you might recognize. Milestones can be used for example when the system is developed in increments to organize use cases per development team and release, or other types of delivery dimensions. A use case can appear in more that one Subject, for example in both a System Boundary and a Mile Stone.

The following figure shows an example of using System Boundaries to identify to what subsystems use cases belong to.

---

\(^1\) You can move a use case from one package to another by selecting it, right-click -> Display Properties -> check “Show Package” and change the package manually. For readability you need to uncheck “Show Package” afterwards.
Consider creating one package “mycompany.myproject.allactors” that contains one diagram to drop actors on and include them in other diagrams. This enforces that actor names are unique (as they should). You can include actors in another diagram by either right-clicking the diagram, or by copy-paste.

Also consider putting activity diagrams that detail a use cases (see also “Relation With Other UML Artifacts”) in a sub package of the package the related use case is in, for example “mycompany.myproject.usecases.actor1.activities”.

**WRITING IN ITERATIONS**

Use cases are written in iterations. A good way to start is with identifying all actors and their goals. If your work with a high-level MoSCoW-list\(^2\) to define the scope of the project you may consider setting it up as an Actor-Goal List that lists all actors with their named goals, a brief description of each goal and the priority it has.

Actor-Goals List starts with naming the actors with their goals. When you have the feeling the list is pretty complete, you start adding Brief Descriptions. You may find new goals and actors when doing so. After the Actor-Goal List is verified and complete, it can be prioritized. Keep in mind that the priority of each goal should have been determined by the customer.

The next step is to create initial use cases out of the Actor-Goal List. Many of the goals will turn out to be User Goal level use cases. In many cases you can use the goal as name for the use case and copy the Brief Description.

After that you write the main success scenario for all use cases. Next you identify the exceptions there may be to the main success scenario of each use case and you write extension to that. It is very important to work out these exceptions, as new actors, classes (see also “Relation to Other UML Artefacts”) and business rules may be discovered.

---

\(^2\) MoSCoW stands for Must Have, Should Have, Could Have and Won’t Have and is used to prioritize functionality. You can find more about MoSCoW at [http://na.dsdm.org/en/about/moscow.asp](http://na.dsdm.org/en/about/moscow.asp).
The following table is an example Actor-Goal List with MoSCoW prioritization:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actor</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
<th>Prio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>Place Order</td>
<td>Use the web store to purchase one or more books.</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Receive Purchased</td>
<td>Receive books in good order and sign for it.</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Staff</td>
<td>Process Order</td>
<td>Receive an online order and initiate the delivery process.</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notify Customer</td>
<td>Send an email to customers about special offers.</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Offerings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Store Staff</td>
<td>Prepare Shipment</td>
<td>Collect all books for one order and prepare it for shipment to the customer.</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Track Shipment</td>
<td>View the delivery status of the shipment</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do not yet try to optimize the scenarios at this point by generalizing use cases, creating inclusions or extensions. By now you should have a pretty complete set of User Goal use cases. Verify this with the customer before you move on!

Finally you may optimize the use cases by using generalization, creating Subfunction use cases use inclusions and even extensions.

The short recipe for creating use cases is as follows:

1. Identify the actors
2. List their goals
3. Add brief descriptions to the goals
4. Create an initial use case for each goal
5. Describe the main success scenario for each use case
6. Identify the exceptions to the main success scenarios and work them out as extensions
7. Validate the use cases
8. Optimize the use cases
At some point when writing the main scenario, you start documenting the classes that support the requirements that have been captured by the use cases. To clearly express the relationship with the classes, you refer to them in the scenario using their exact name.

Complex scenarios can be documented using an Activity Diagram. The Activity Diagram is then a graphical representation of the scenario of the use case, including its extensions. Activity Diagrams are generally created to detail User Goal use cases, but you can use them to detail any use case at any level.

When timing aspects of scenario are important, you can document that using a Sequence Diagram. Whenever there is the need to document the relationship between use cases and classes, you can use a Collaboration Diagram.

More information about Activity, Sequence or Collaboration Diagrams can be found at the UML site. A very pragmatic view on using UML diagrams is provided by Scott Ambler, whose site is mentioned at the end of this paper.

**USE CASES VERSUS FUNCTION HIERARCHY**

Use cases are the object-oriented equivalent of the function hierarchy of the traditional structured analysis, though there are some notable differences.

A User Goal use case can be compared to an elementary function (for which you record Create, Retrieve, Update and Delete usages or CRUD for short) that are candidate to be transformed to screen, report or batch modules. The Summary use case can be compared to a master function (that is the parent of two or more elementary functions), while the Submodule use case can be compared to a supporting function.

In general a function hierarchy is created by clustering functionality from a functional point of view, whereas with use cases functionality is organized from the point of view of user goals. Structured analysis tends to optimize from day one by trying to avoid duplicate functionality, while with use case modeling this is not a prime concern. It is likely that, when both are being performed in a proper way, in the end the result of both approaches will deliver comparable results. Personally I tend to believe that with use case modeling this result will be delivered more efficiently, especially because of the focus on the user goals.

This section started to state that use cases are the object-oriented equivalent of a function hierarchy, but there is nothing object-oriented about use cases as such. The requirements that have been captured with use cases still need to be mapped to an object-oriented design. Use cases may just as well be mapped to a relational design. Unlike a function hierarchy, use cases can be used at any level of the analysis and design including a technical design, although the latter is rarely done.
With a function hierarchy you explicitly record how entities are used. With use cases you do not explicitly record how objects are used. As a result, checks for completeness and impact analysis are more difficult with use cases.

MORE INFORMATION

A book that you want to have at hand when creating use cases is “Writing Effective Uses Cases”, by Alistair Cockburn (ISBN 978-0201702255). This book contains many examples that clearly illustrate good use cases. Cockburn also has a website that can be reached at http://alistair.cockburn.us/.

More interesting information about object-oriented analysis and design in general, including use case modeling, can be found at Parlez | UML: http://parlezuml.com.

The official UML site can be found at http://www.uml.org/. A short and very pragmatic reference on how to use UML modeling techniques, can be found at the site of Scott W. Ambler:

http://www.agilemodeling.com/essays/umlDiagrams.htm

Other papers in the Getting Started With series are:

- Getting Started With Class Modeling
- Getting Started With Use Case Modeling
- Getting Started With Unit-Testing
- Getting Started With CVS
Example of a modified JDeveloper Casual use case template

Extends:

Specializes:

Goal of Primary Actor:

Level:
User Goal

Scope:
Ticket Machine

Stakeholder(s):
Car Driver
Traffic Police

Brief Description:
A Car Driver buys a parking ticket from the Ticket Machine.

Precondition:
The Ticket Machine must be functioning

Postcondition:

Minimal Guarantee(s):
Success Guarantee(s):
The Car Driver has been provided with a printed ticket.

Trigger:
The Car Driver enters a coin in the Ticket Machine.

Scenario:
1. The Car Driver enters a coin in the Ticket Machine.
2. The Ticket Machine validates the coin
3. The Ticket Machine indicates until when the Car Driver can park.
4. The scenario continues with step 1 and 2 until the Car Driver is satisfied
5. The Car Driver presses the button to retrieve the parking ticket.
6. The Ticket Machine prints the parking ticket.

Extensions

2a Invalid coin:
   2a1 The Ticket Machine returns an invalid coin;
   2a2 Return to step 1

3a Car Driver aborts transaction:
   3a1 The Ticket Machine returns the coins that have been entered
   3a2 The scenario ends.

Open Issue(s):

Referenced By: